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## Summary

The cation $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$(III), was obtained from the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(1,5-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)_{2}\right]$, trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\right.$ acetone $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right], \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The molecular structure of III is reported. It is shown that the Ph and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ fragments arise from the cleavage of one molecule of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.

Hydrido-bridged complexes have been extensively studied in recent years because of their interesting structural features [1] and their potential use as reaction intermediates in homogeneous catalysis [2].

A recent publication [3] describes the preparation of the dihydro-bridged species I and II from reaction 1.

(I)

(I)

We now find that the reaction of eq. 2 instead of giving the expected product of type (II), gives the cationic species (III).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{PR}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{PtY}(\text { acetone })\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] \rightarrow} \\
{[\mathrm{I}]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] \text { or }[\mathrm{II}]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]} \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

( $\mathrm{COD}=1,5$-cyclooctadiene; for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{Cy}[\mathrm{I}]^{+}$; for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}=$ Et, [II] ${ }^{+}$)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{PPh}_{3}+\operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\text { acetone })\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$


$t$
(III)

The molecular geometry of III, as its [ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ] salt, was established by X-ray diffraction [4]. A perspective view of III is shown in Fig. 1. Compound [III][BF ${ }_{4}$ ] consists of discrete cations and $\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ anions. There are two chemically equivalent but crystallographically independent molecules in the unit cell. The overall geometries of the two cations and the two anions do not differ significantly; the only relevant (ca. $11 \sigma$ ) difference observed in the two independent cations is the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond length which is $2.889(3) \AA$ in one of them and 2.912(2) $\AA$ in the other. This difference may be due to packing forces. Similar effects have been observed in the anion $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{Mo}(\mu-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]^{-}[5]$. The coordination around each platinum atom can be described as distorted square planar if one assumes


Fig. 1. A perspective view of the cation $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Pt}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]{ }^{+}$. The $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ bond distances are 2.889(2) and 2.912(2) $\AA$ for the two independent molecules in the unit cell (see text). Other relevant (average) bond distances ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) are: $P(1)-P(1) 2.250(7), P t(1)-P(2) 2.3 G 0(7)$, $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3) \mathbf{2 . 2 4 7 ( 7 )}, \mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3) \mathbf{2 . 1 6 2 ( 8 )}, \mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4) 2.270(8), \mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ 2.06(3); $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ 82.3(2), $P(1)-P t(1)-P(3) 99.8(3), P(1)-P t(1)-P(2) 98.9(3), P(4)-P t(2)-C(1) 88.0(9), P(3)-P t(2)-C(1)$ 94.6(9).
that also a hydride ligand is bridging the two platinum atoms. Although this bridging hydride ligand could not be located with certainty, its presence is confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of III (see later). Three of the Pt-Pt-L bond angles ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ ) are larger than $90^{\circ}$, as $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{L}$ angles are generally in the mononuclear complexes of the type trans-[PtHL $\left.\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ [6,7], and it is noteworthy that in III they are smaller around $\operatorname{Pt}(2)$ which is the less crowded side of the molecule.

There are small deviations from planarity as judged from the displacements from the least squares plane through $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{C}(1)$ atoms (in the range $0.05-$ $0.25 \AA$ ) possibly due to the presence of bulky phosphine ligands.

The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distance (av. 2.901(2) $\pm 0.012 \AA$ ) falls within the expected range if one considers that (1) on going from a dihydrido-bridged species, e.g., [ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ -$\left.(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{2-}(3.016 \AA)$ [8] to the diphosphido-bridged compounds of similar structure, e.g., $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \mathrm{M}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PEt}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{2-}(3.053 \AA$ for $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{W}$ and $3.057 \AA$ for $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ ) [9] there is a lengthening of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ bond, (2) that a further lengthening of this bond occurs when one of the bridging ligands is a hydride and the other a phosphide, e.g., $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Mo}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{PMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Mo}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right](3.267 \AA)$ [10] vs. [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PMe}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)\right]$ (3.089 \&) [11] and (3) that the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distance in $\left[\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\right]$ is $2.692 \AA$ [12].

The $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ distances, 2.247(7) and 2.162(8) $\AA$, respectively, are much shorter than those observed in related platinum(II) complexes with phosphido bridges $[13,14]$ and the asymmetry of the bridge may be related to the different coordination spheres of $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(2)$. The $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ bond angle, $82^{\circ}$, is larger than the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond angles found in the complexes mentioned above. Thus, the longer $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distance is associated with shorter $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds and a larger $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond angle. The three $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond-lengths span most of the range normally observed $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds [15].

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR data for III [16] are first order, and are consistent with the crystal structure and can all be discussed using a "first-order" approximation.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the hydride ligand ( $\delta-6.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) appears as a doublet of multiplets flanked by three sets of ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$-satellites arising from the isotopomers $\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right) \star(\mathrm{ca} .22 \%),\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1}\right)^{\star}\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{ca} .22 \%)$ and $\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{1}\right)\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right)$ (ca. 11\%). ( Pt$)^{\star}$ indicates a "non-magnetic" Pt-isotope)). Noteworthy are the ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) 600 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{2},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) 324 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and the ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}^{1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) 98 \mathrm{~Hz}$ while ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}^{x},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ for $x=2,3$ and 4 , fall in the range $7-18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ [17].

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum shows the four inequivalent phosphorus nuclei ( $\delta\left(\mathrm{P}^{1}\right)$ $18.1 ; \delta\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}\right) 15.3 ; \delta\left(\mathrm{P}^{3}\right) 121.3$ and $\left.\delta\left(\mathrm{P}^{4}\right) 22.9 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ each showing coupling to both ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{1}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}$ [18]. While the chemical shifts and most of the coupling constants fall in the ranges observed in related bonding situations [18], the ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{1},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}^{1}\right)(3785 \mathrm{~Hz})$ is unusually large and comparable with ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ in compounds where the phosphorus atom in question has a weakly held electronegative ligand in trans position [19], e.g., $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$in the series cis-[ $\mathrm{PtX} \mathrm{X}_{2}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{n}-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. While it has been previously observed that the ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ value for a phosphorus atom in trans-position to a bridging hydride is considerably higher than that for a phosphorus atom trans to a terminal hydride [3], the value ob-
served in III is unusually high and could be taken to indicate that the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Pt}^{1}$ interaction is quite weak.

The ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR data for III [20] are consistent with the solid state structure and were particularly useful for the assignment of the ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ couplings.

The question of whether the phenyl group bonded to $\mathrm{Pt}^{2}$ originates from the cation trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\text { acetone })\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$or from the cleaved $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was resolved by carrying out the reaction 3 . As this gave III and not its $p$-tolyl analogue, we conclude that the $\sigma$-bonded phenyl group comes from the cleavage of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{PPh}_{3}+\text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{Pt}(p-\mathrm{Tol})(\text { acetone })\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavages in $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ complexes have been frequently observed [21] and such reactions are often responsible for catalyst deactivation. It should be pointed out here that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage described here occurs at ca. $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while those observed earlier are reported to require higher temperatures [13]. The detailed mechanism leading to the formation of compounds of type III is currently under investigation.
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